College EN	Dept CS5303	Section 24007	Student E	ity of Texas at Evaluation of Fac Spring 2011	culty Pr	N = ri Instructor structor Num	15 Ceberio, Martine	
Section A: The following questions refer to you.								
1. Classifi	cation		•					
No Resp	a. Graduate	b. Senior	c. Junior	d. Sophomore	e. Freshmar			
0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0	% 0.0%		
2. College							0 5	
No Resp 0.0%	Business 0.0%	Education 0.0%	Engineering 86.7%	Health Sci	Liberal Arts	Science	Scan Error	
	al grade i expe			0.0%	0.0%	13.3%	0.0%	
No Resp	A A	B	C C	D	F			
13.3%	66.7%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
	S	U	P	1	Scan Erro	r		
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
Section R	The following	a augetiene	rafor to your in	oturoto u				
Section B: The following questions refer to your instructor. 4. Rate the effectiveness of the instructor in stimulating your interest in the subject:								
No Resp	a. Excellent	b. Good	c. Satisfactory			or Scan Error	Avg	
0.0%	66.7%	26.7%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.6	
5. Rate the	organization			3,3,5	0.070	0.0.0		
No Resp	a. Excellent	b. Good	c. Satisfactory	d. Poor	e. Very Poo	or Scan Error		
0.0%	86.7%	6.7%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	.0.0%	4.8	
	clarity of clas	-						
No Resp		b. Good	c. Satisfactory	d. Poor	•	or Scan Error		
6.7%	73.3%	13.3%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.7	
No Resp	relevance of a. Excellent	b. Good		d Door	s Vest Des	or Coon Feror		
0.0%	60.0%	26.7%	c. Satisfactory 13.3%	d. Poor 0.0%	e. very Poc 0.0%	or Scan Error 0.0%	4.5	
			erials to stated			0.070	4.5	
No Resp	a. Excellent	b. Good	c. Satisfactory			or Scan Error		
0.0%	66.7%	26.7%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.6	
9. Rate the	varied use of	questions,	discussions, le	ctures, and/or	group work	in the class:		
No Resp	a. Excellent	b. Good	c. Satisfactory		•	or Scan Error		
0.0%	53.3%	33.3%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.4	
			during posted		=			
No Resp 0.0%	a. Excellent	b. Good	c. Satisfactory			or Scan Error	4.0	
	86.7% e an overall ra	6.7%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8	
No Resp	a. Excellent	b. Good	c. Satisfactory	d. Poor	e Very Poo	or Scan Error		
0.0%	80.0%	13.3%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.7	
			2,	3.3,0	0.070	0.070		
Section C:	The following	questions r	efer to this cou	ırse.				
	his course:							
	a. To fulfill a requ	irement	b. As an elective		est	Scan Error		
0.0%	86.7%		6.7%	6.7%		0.0%	N/A	
			el of interest in		ıs:	0E		
No Resp 0.0%	a. High 26.7%	b. Average 60.0%	13.3%	d. Unsure		Scan Error	N1/A	
			n this course:	0.0%		0.0%	N/A	
	a.Well Above Avg		c. Average	d Relow Ava	e Well Relow Av	g Scan Error		
0.0%	33.3%	53.3%	13.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2	
			ırse in challeng			+·+·*		
No Resp	a. Excellent		c. Satisfactory			r Scan Error		
0.0%	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2	
	an overall rat							
No Resp	a. Excellent		c. Satisfactory	d. Poor	•	r Scan Error		
0.0%	33.3%	60.0%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3	

Ceberio, Martine

CS 5303-24007

Spring 2011

Comments:

- 1. Related to the way Dr. Ceberio was teaching the only thing I could tell in that he did a good job! Good explanation with examples of notions that are obvious in a natural thinking way. She gave formation in a simple way and asked always for what students are thinking. Dr. Ceberio is following her students improvement by the weekly quizzes, homework (always reviewed and graded on time). And share any remarks to the next of the class.
- 2. The professor is very helpful with students questions, and she makes herself available fairly frequently. However her lectures can run a bit with a back of variety in teaching style.
- **3.** Dr. Ceberio is very helpful and a nice person as a whole. She has an excellent knowledge about the subject matter and explained everything in a very friendly and efficient manner.
- 4. Martine Ceberio, is a good professor, she put a lot of assignments during semester that enrich knowledge, sometimes is overwhelming, but the assignments finally help you understood and learn. The course is good, because it put the logic knowledge lose to deal with CS problems.
- **5.** It is very good class. the professor is excellent, she is very well organized and always she is looking that students understand and learn.
- **6.** Very difficult class, however, the instructor went to great lengths to help us, including individual quizzes, homework, group work, projects (long/short), article summaries, and lectures, everything promptly graded. It was really helpful and I'm thankful for all the work she put into our class.
- 7. Dr. Ceberio is good professor. She implements different strategies for helping us to study and understand the subject. She is accessible person always I needed, she was available or tries to give time for extra help. Also she is an organized person. In general she is a good teacher.
- **8.** It's a tough, demanding class. however, it is also a subject that us hard to grasp, and the class workload, along with Dr. Ceberio methods and invaluable.
- **9.** Instructor is very organized and every project is helpful to understand class material. Also the book is very appropriate for the class. It is very understandable.
- **10.** Homework was randomly taken and checked I would rather see some equal responsibility in that, if the student have to do it, the faculty has to check it.
- 11. I really liked this course, especially the part with propositional logic. As it helped me to understand how to apply my knowledge of to more abstract problems. Also although, I think the part of the course where the professor are explained, have to go with more detail, I belived overall the course objectives is satisfied.
- 12. I have had Dr. Ceberio for several classes now and every time I can tell she is always trying to improve her teaching methods over the last time. This semester it was even more apparent that she was trying to make sure her students were learning and her methods were improving, she really takes the time to ensure everyone is following and learning the material.

,

13.	The only concern I have is with prolog assignments. Though there is a amount of time given to							
	solve the assignments, I think these should be at least 2 classes to give the basics of the anguage to be able to handle the assignments.							