

**Manage Activities**

[Rapid Reports](#)  
[PasteBoard](#)  
  
[Run Reports](#)  
  
[Help](#)

**< Edit Teaching Evaluations**

Cancel

Term and Year

Spring 2015

Course

Spring 2015, CS 3350 Section 22386

N Count

13

You do not have access to modify some of the fields on this screen. If changes are needed, contact your Digital Measures Administrator, [Didi](#)

Section A: The following questions refer to the instructor.

1. The instructor clearly defined and explained the course objectives and expectations.					
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Response
53.8000 %	46.2000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %
2. The instructor was prepared for each instructional activity.					
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Response
69.2000 %	23.1000 %	7.7000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %
3. The instructor communicated information effectively.					
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Response
53.8000 %	15.4000 %	23.1000 %	7.7000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %
4. The instructor encouraged me to take an active role in my own learning.					
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Response
46.2000 %	30.8000 %	15.4000 %	7.7000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %
5. The instructor was available to students either electronically or in person.					
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Response
53.8000 %	30.8000 %	7.7000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %	7.7000 %
6. The instructor stimulated my interest in the subject:					
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Response
46.2000 %	23.1000 %	15.4000 %	15.4000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %

Section B: The following questions refer to this course.

7. Estimate how much you learned in this course:					
Well Above Avg	Above Avg	Average	Below Avg	Well Below Avg	No Response
23.1000 %	53.8000 %	15.4000 %	7.7000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %
8. Estimate how much this course challenged you intellectually:					
Well Above Avg	Above Avg	Average	Below Avg	Well Below Avg	No Response
53.8000 %	23.1000 %	15.4000 %	7.7000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %

Section C: Overall

9. Provide an overall rating of this course:						
Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Very Poor	No Response	Ind
38.5000 %	30.8000 %	23.1000 %	7.7000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %	4.0
10. Provide an overall rating of the instructor:						
Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Very Poor	No Response	Ind
38.5000 %	30.8000 %	23.1000 %	7.7000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %	4.0

Imaged Paper Evaluations with Comments

No File Stored

Choose File...

Instructor Comments



#### Student Comments

Dr. Ceberio is an excellent professor. She helped us understand what we read on our own. I was genuinely interested in making sure we were learning what we were supposed to be learning in this course. Dr. Ceberio is an excellent professor in terms of how she explains the material. She is very attentive, very patient with questions, and is very nice and respectful. Her notes were very easy to follow, and she provided numerous examples that accelerated my learning.

However, the programming assignment for the class is a little bit much. Her mindset of "go figure it out" was not really okay with me. She explained all of the on paper material very well, but did not provide any sort of help for the programming project. No ideas, no starting point, nothing. In other courses that I've managed to take so far, when given a particularly challenging programming assignment, instructors have at least provided example code, online resources, advice, ANYTHING. This was very frustrating and a bit unfair.

However, overall, I do think she is an excellent instructor. \*\*\* The class is already "boring." I still have a hard time visualizing what we are getting out of this class, since it's pretty much the same as most CS classes feel like "Yes, I can apply this" whereas automata is "will I ever use this?" Plus, in my opinion, she is not a very good teacher.