



Manage Activities

Rapid Reports

PasteBoard

Run Reports

Help

< Edit Teaching Evaluations

Cancel

You do not have access to modify some of the fields on this screen. If changes are needed, contact your Digital Measures Administrator, [Didi](#)

Term and Year

Fall 2013

Course

Fall 2013, CS 3350 Section 12909

N Count

24

Section A: UT System Required Questions

1. The instructor clearly defined and explained the course objectives and expectations.					
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Response
54.2000 %	25.0000 %	16.7000 %	4.2000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %
2. The instructor was prepared for each instructional activity.					
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Response
58.3000 %	25.0000 %	16.7000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %
3. The instructor communicated information effectively.					
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Response
50.0000 %	33.3000 %	8.3000 %	8.3000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %
4. The instructor encouraged me to take an active role in my own learning.					
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Response
66.7000 %	12.5000 %	20.8000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %
5. The instructor was available to students either electronically or in person.					
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Response
75.0000 %	12.5000 %	12.5000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %

Section B: The following questions refer to the instructor.

6. Rate the effectiveness of the instructor in stimulating your interest in the subject:					
Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Very Poor	No Response
41.7000 %	16.7000 %	37.5000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %	4.2000 %
7. Rate the organization of the instructor:					
Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Very Poor	No Response
54.2000 %	25.0000 %	20.8000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %
8. Rate the clarity of class assignments:					
Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Very Poor	No Response
33.3000 %	20.8000 %	29.2000 %	4.2000 %	8.3000 %	4.2000 %
9. Rate the relevance of class assignments:					
Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Very Poor	No Response
50.0000 %	16.7000 %	33.3000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %
10. Rate the relevance of course materials to stated course objectives:					
Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Very Poor	No Response
50.0000 %	16.7000 %	29.2000 %	0.0000 %	4.2000 %	0.0000 %
11. Rate the varied use of questions, discussions, lectures, and/or group work in the class:					
Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Very Poor	No Response
50.0000 %	25.0000 %	25.0000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %
12. Rate the instructor's availability during posted office hours:					
Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Very Poor	No Response
66.7000 %	4.2000 %	25.0000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %	4.2000 %



POWERED BY  Digital Measures
Privacy Policy

66.7000 %	4.2000 %	23.0000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %	4.2000 %
-----------	----------	-----------	----------	----------	----------

13. Provide an overall rating of the instructor:

Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Very Poor	No Response
58.3000 %	20.8000 %	20.8000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %

Section C: The following questions refer to this course.

14. I took this course:

To fulfill a requirement	As an elective	For my own interest	No Response
91.7000 %	8.3000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %

15. Before taking this course, my level of interest in the subject was:

High	Average	Low	Unsure	No Response
20.8000 %	50.0000 %	12.5000 %	12.5000 %	4.2000 %

16. Estimate how much you learned in this course:

Well Above Avg	Above Avg	Average	Below Avg	Well Below Avg	No Response
25.0000 %	45.8000 %	29.2000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %

17. Rate the effectiveness of this course in challenging you intellectually:

Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Very Poor	No Response
41.7000 %	45.8000 %	12.5000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %

18. Provide an overall rating of this course:

Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Very Poor	No Response
29.2000 %	50.0000 %	16.7000 %	4.2000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %

Section D: Expected Grade

19. The final grade I expect in this course is:

A	B	C	D	F	I	P	S	U	No Respo
45.8000 %	37.5000 %	12.5000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %	4.2000 %

Imaged Paper Evaluations with Comments

No File Stored

Choose File...

Instructor Comments

🔒 Student Comments

Dr. Ceberio, you are awesome! It seems like your doing at least five things while just standir for learning our names and scheduling extra meetings. Your demeanor is balanced just righ personality, authority, and concern. *** The book assigned for the course is a good referen book is not so great when the subject matter is new. *** Too many quizzes. Sometimes the much time away from the course and thus not a lot of time was given to teaching the mater good but too many can be a bad thing as it will leave less time in class for students to ask m Also the final project was not very well explained. Not many people in the class had an idea i was expected of them in the final project. It would have helped to have a hand out with idea project or maybe even have a demo of a final project that would be accepted so that everyoi understand what was expected of them. *** Excellent teacher! her style is engaging and int focused on problem solving *** More examples on how she wants her answers *** Difficul excellent teacher *** The instructor relies too much in the student's self learning and rarely examples of what she expects of students. *** Teacher too picky *** I had fun in this class. project did feel a bit disorganized though. *** I loved the way Dr. Ceberio was committed fc with all the extra sessions but the class needed more examples. *** Dr. Ceberio, you have b excellent teacher. I would have preferred a faster pace, but that's just me, and I understand pace won't happen. I really enjoyed this class, despite its challenges. *** need more examples/explanation on the subject being teach