

Manage Activities

Rapid Reports

PasteBoard

Run Reports

Help

◀ Edit Teaching Evaluations

Cancel

You do not have access to modify some of the fields on this screen. If changes are needed, contact your Digital Measures Administrator, [Didi](#)

Term and Year

Spring 2015

Course

Spring 2015, CS 1401 Section 22613

N Count

22

Section A: The following questions refer to the instructor.						
1. The instructor clearly defined and explained the course objectives and expectations.						
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Response	
77.3000 %	9.1000 %	9.1000 %	4.5000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %	
2. The instructor was prepared for each instructional activity.						
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Response	
86.4000 %	9.1000 %	4.5000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %	
3. The instructor communicated information effectively.						
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Response	
77.3000 %	4.5000 %	9.1000 %	4.5000 %	4.5000 %	0.0000 %	
4. The instructor encouraged me to take an active role in my own learning.						
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Response	
81.8000 %	13.6000 %	4.5000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %	
5. The instructor was available to students either electronically or in person.						
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Response	
100.0000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %	
6. The instructor stimulated my interest in the subject:						
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Response	Ir
81.8000 %	0.0000 %	13.6000 %	0.0000 %	4.5000 %	0.0000 %	4

Section B: The following questions refer to this course.						
7. Estimate how much you learned in this course:						
Well Above Avg	Above Avg	Average	Below Avg	Well Below Avg	No Response	
63.6000 %	27.3000 %	4.5000 %	4.5000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %	
8. Estimate how much this course challenged you intellectually:						
Well Above Avg	Above Avg	Average	Below Avg	Well Below Avg	No Response	
72.7000 %	18.2000 %	4.5000 %	4.5000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %	

Section C: Overall						
9. Provide an overall rating of this course:						
Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Very Poor	No Response	Indi
86.4000 %	9.1000 %	4.5000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %	4.8
10. Provide an overall rating of the instructor:						
Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Very Poor	No Response	Indi
77.3000 %	4.5000 %	13.6000 %	4.5000 %	0.0000 %	0.0000 %	4.5

Imaged Paper Evaluations with Comments

No File Stored

Choose File...

Instructor Comments



#### Student Comments

I really liked the course a lot. Adding more spaces to code over more daily things would be t would make it better. \*\*\* Instructor is great, they know what they are talking about and kn explain confusing concepts and make them seem simple. \*\*\* This course provided a challer environment that pushes a student to learn so much during the semester which was very fu that needs improvement is that the labs may be a little too ahead of what we are learning w time hard but when we look back at it is understandable, you may want to have us be a little comfortable with a certain section ahead of its lab. \*\*\* The instructor was really nice, very ir and she really helped me to adjust to a new environment. I came from an early college and away by how different the worlds are. \*\*\* I think the professor was great but the videos we favorite thing. \*\*\* Course and activities are challenging and you are required to understand Videos implemented by professors and the textbooks are also helpful to study and improve Ceberio was an amazing professor. Explains the concepts very well, and extremely helpful. \* instructor is always available during her office hours and she always explains everything wit examples. Also, the instructor explains everything in a very simple way during class for full comprehension. When the problem is hard to solve, she explains to everybody how to solve but of course she always make you strive to find the answer by yourself by just leading you t step. \*\*\* Best class Ive taken at UTEP! Professor Ceberio is fair and very helpful. \*\*\* Before time to evaluate this class and instructor. I typed on Google.com "CS1401 UTEP Fall 2014" ar the first link. You can also copy and paste this link: <http://www.cs.utep.edu/vladik/cs1401.14>

I then was able to compare and dissect the labs, exams and quizzes of Fall 2014 with Spring that for an introductory and a freshmen course, this course was very difficult. Switching maj Computer Science made me realize how much material you can learn in a week. The labs of very simple compared to the ones of Spring 2015. I am not here to complain because in the were able to push me to my limits. I was able to learn how to surf the web more efficiently, t more abstractly and even sometimes think in pseudo-code on daily based tasks.

There are also areas that the department can focus on:

I felt like the labs and class were slightly inconsistent. I sometimes was not able to start on t of the week.

Recommend more books related to Java! You were a CS student at one point gives us tips or succeed!

Thank you for the time and reading this. \*\*\* Dr. Ceberio was clear, she always answers our has time for the students and she wants to be sure that we understood everything. \*\*\* She professor.