


You do not have access to modify some of the fields on this screen. If changes are needed, contact your Digital Measures Administrator, [Didi](#)

Term and YearSpring 2017

CourseSpring 2017: CS 1301 Section 28103

Welcome, Martine Ceberio! Log Out

Search...

-  **Manage Activities**
- [Rapid Reports](#)
- [PasteBoard](#)
- [Run Reports](#)
- [Help](#)

< **Edit Teaching Evaluations**

Cancel

1. The instructor clearly defined and explained the course objectives and expectations.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Response
55.6 %	22.2 %	11.1 %	0 %	0 %	11.1 %

2. The instructor was prepared for each instructional activity.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Response
88.9 %	11.1 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %

3. The instructor communicated information effectively.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Response
55.6 %	33.3 %	11.1 %	0 %	0 %	0 %

4. The instructor encouraged me to take an active role in my own learning.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Response
66.7 %	33.3 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %

5. The instructor was available to students either electronically or in person.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Response
88.9 %	11.1 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %

6. The instructor stimulated my interest in the subject:

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Response	Index
77.8 %	11.1 %	0 %	0 %	11.1 %	0 %	4.44

Section B: The following questions refer to this course.

7. Estimate how much you learned in this course:

Well Above Avg	Above Avg	Average	Below Avg	Well Below Avg	No Response
55.6 %	22.2 %	11.1 %	11.1 %	0 %	0 %

8. Estimate how much this course challenged you intellectually:

Well Above Avg	Above Avg	Average	Below Avg	Well Below Avg	No Response
77.8 %	11.1 %	0 %	0 %	11.1 %	0 %

Section C: Overall

9. Provide an overall rating of this course:

Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Very Poor	No Response	Index
66.7 %	22.2 %	0 %	11.1 %	0 %	0 %	4.44

10. Provide an overall rating of the instructor:

Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Very Poor	No Response	Index
66.7 %	11.1 %	22.2 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	4.44

Imaged Paper Evaluations with Comments

No File Stored

Choose File...

Instructor Comments



POWERED BY  Digital Measures
Privacy Policy



- 🗨 Student Comments
- OK the other one I wrote said BOOK sucks, man in videos could use some pepp, DR.Ceberio somewhere in here needs to be time to go over syntax and proper useage. Otherwise much trying to figure out how to use one line of code *** Martine Ceberio is a very strict teacher I because she wants whats best for her students. She really wants everyone to learn the skills Computer Science. Would say the only way the class could be any better is if she viewed the it was "an introduction" and expects less. Her teaching is more based on her expecting us to do and less of explaining and thoroughly teaching us what we need to know. *** The struct strange, we kept skipping around on subjects in the book, and expecting us to know randon that we weren't told we had to know. Instructor rarely taught code, and kept pushing the id would never have to memorize code, then proceeded to test us on code memorization, and to learn all the coding to complete the labs on our own time on top of all the other homewo assigned. *** Great Course